SUPREME COURT, U. S.

FILED
JUL 9 1969
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1969

No. 322

MONTECATINI EDISON S.p.A., *Petitioner,*

v.

E. I. du PONT de NEMOURS & COMPANY, *Respondent.*

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT.

EDWARD S. IRONS
MARY HELEN SEARS
Irons, Birch, Swindler & McKie
1000 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorneys for Petitioner
Montecatini Edison S.p.A.

INDEX

	Page
The Opinion Below	1
Jurisdiction	2
Questions Presented	2
Statutes and Rules Involved	2
Statement of the Case	3
A. The "Privilege" Issues and Their Treatment in the District Court	4
B. Treatment of the "Privilege" Issues in the Court of Appeals	7
Reasons Why the Writ Should Be Granted	14
A. The Conflict With the Full Disclosure Cases	16
B. The Conflict With the Federal Supremacy Cases	21
C. The Relationship of Privilege and Work Product to Sperry v. Florida	23
D. The Work Product Problem	27
Conclusion	33

LIST OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Cases:

Alltmont v. *United States*, 177 F.2d 971 (3 Cir. 1950)	29
Altmann, Ex parte, 1897 C.D. 52	20
American Cyanamid Company v. *Hercules Powder Company*, 211 F.Supp. 85 (D. Del. 1962)	24
Ames v. *Lindstrom*, 1911 C.D. 68	19, 20
Ayres, Ex parte, 1890 C.D. 103	20
Benckiser, Joh. Gm.B.H. v. *Hygrade Food Products Corp.*, 253 F.Supp. 999 (D.N.J. 1966)	23
Brungger v. *Smith*, 49 F 124 (C.C.D. Mass. 1892)	23
Brulotte v. *Thys Co.*, 379 U.S. 29 (1964)	22
Channel Master Corp. v. *RMS Electronics, Inc.*, 159 USPQ 344 (S.D.N.Y. 1965)	26, 27
Chicago Bar Association v. *Kellogg*, 338 Ill. App. 618, 88 N.E. 2d 519	25
Clevite Corp. v. *Beckman Instruments, Inc.*, 257 F. Supp. 50 (S.D. Cal. 1966)	20, 27

Page

Compco Corp. v. *Day-Brite Lighting, Inc.*, 376 U.S. 234 (1964) 21
Corning Glass Works v. *Anchor Hocking Glass Corp.*, 253 F.Supp. 461 (D.Del. 1966), *reversed* 374 F.2d 473 (3 Cir. 1967) 16, 17
Dennis v. *United States*, 384 U.S. 855 (1966) 30
Ellis-Foster Company v. *Union Carbide & Carbon Corp.*, 159 F.Supp. 917 (D.N.J. 1958) 24, 25
Garrison v. *General Motors Corporation*, 213 F.Supp. 515 (S.D. Cal. 1963) 25
Georgia-Pacific Plywood Co. v. *U.S. Plywood Corp.*, 18 F.R.D. 463 (S.D.N.Y. 1956) 24, 27
Gladrow v. *Weisz*, 354 F.2d 464 (5 Cir. 1965) 17
Graham v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1 (1966), 333 F.2d 529 (8 Cir. 1964) 14, 16
Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. *Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944) 18
Hickman v. *Taylor*, 329 U.S. 495 (1947) 12, 13, 16, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33
Insurance Company of North America v. *Union Carbide Corp.*, 35 F.R.D. 520 (D. Col. 1964) 29
International Minerals & Chemical Corp. v. *Golding-Keene Co.*, 162 F.Supp. 137 (W.D.N.Y. 1958) 23, 24
Katzinger v. *Chicago Metallic Mfg. Co.*, 329 U.S. 394 (1947) 22
Kearney and Trecker Corp. v. *Giddings & Lewis, Inc.*, 161 USPQ 700 (E.D. Wis. 1969) 32
Kent Jewelry Corp. v. *Kieffer* (N.Y. Sup.Ct. 1952) 12 N.Y.S. 2d 113 23
Keystone Driller Co. v. *General Excavator Co.*, 290 U.S. 240 (1933) 18
Kingsland v. *Dorsey*, 338 U.S. 318 (1949) 18
Kinnan, Examiner A.F., In the Matter of the Charges Made by, 1911 C.D. 139 20
Korman v. *Nobile*, 133 USPQ 178 (W.D. Mich. 1962) 17
Korman v. *Shull*, 184 F.Supp. 928 (W.D. Mich. 1960) 17
Lear, Inc. v. *John S. Adkins* (No. 56, O.T. 1968) 16, 22, 23
Lindstrom v. *Ames*, 37 App. D.C. 365 (1911 C.D. 384) (App. D.C. 1911) 19

Page

MacGregor v. *Westinghouse Elec. & Mfg. Co.*, 329 U.S. 402 (1947) 22
Mallard, Ex parte, 71 USPQ 294 (1946) 19, 20
Minnesota Mining & Mfg. Co. v. *Gentex Corp.*, 153 USPQ 110 (D.Del. 1967) 26
Morris v. *Hillyer*, 132 USPQ 668 (D.Conn. 1961) 17
Natta, In re, 259 F.Supp. 922 (D.Del. 1966), *supplemented* 264 F.Supp. 734 (D.Del. 1967), *Aff'd* 388 F.2d 215 (3 Cir. 1968) 30, 31
Natta v. *Hogan*, 43 F.R.D. 308 (N.D. Okla. 1967), *Aff'd* 392 F.2d 686 (10 Cir. 1968) 9, 10, 12, 20, 21, 26, 31, 32
Natta v. *Standard Oil Company of Indiana*, No. 1346, October Term, 1968, *cert. denied*, 23 L.Ed.2d 223 (1969) 15
Natta v. *Zletz*, 405 F.2d 99 (7 Cir. 1968) 30
Okun v. *Kastner*, 1 F.R.D. 599 (D.R.I. 1941) 17
Paper Converting Machine Co. v. *FMC Corp.*, 215 F.Supp. 249 (E.D. Wis. 1963) 24, 27
Pfizer, Charles & Co. v. *Federal Trade Commission*, 401 F.2d 574 (6 Cir. 1968) 18, 19, 20
Precision Instrument Mfg. Co. v. *Automotive Maintenance Machinery Co.*, 324 U.S. 806 (1945) 9, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22, 31, 32
Reed v. *Roberts*, 1869 C.D. 88 20
Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. *Stiffel*, 376 U.S. 225 (1964) 21
Scott Paper Co. v. *Marcalus Mfg. Co.*, 326 U.S. 249 (1945) 22
Sola Electric Co. v. *Jefferson Electric Co.*, 317 U.S. 173 (1942) 22
Southern Railway Co. v. *Lanham*, 403 F.2d 119 (5 Cir. 1968) 29
Sperry v. *Florida*, 373 U.S. 379 (1963) 2, 16, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 32
Sperry Rand Corp. v. *International Business Machines Corp.*, 45 F.R.D. 287 (D. Del. 1968) 6, 7, 26
Sperti Products, Inc. v. *Coca-Cola Company*, 262 F.Supp. 148 (D.Del. 1966) 25, 26
State Ex rel. Florida Bar v. *Sperry*, 140 So. 2d 587 (1962) 25
Tucker v. *Peiler*, 297 F. 570 (2 Cir. 1924) 17

Page

United States v. *Gates*, 35 F.R.D. 524 (D. Colo. 1964) 27
United States v. *United Shoe Machinery Corp.*, 89 F. Supp. 357 (D. Mass. 1950) 23, 27, 32
Wainer v. *Ervin & Ueltz*, 121 USPQ 144 (Com. Pats. 1959) *adhered to on reconsideration*, 122 USPQ 608 (Com. Pats. 1959) 20
Walker Process Equipment Inc. v. *Food Machinery and Chemical Corp.*, 382 U.S. 172 (1965) 18
Zenith Radio Corp. v. *Radio Corp. of America*, 106 F.Supp. 561 (D.Del. 1952) 23, 24, 25, 26, 32
Ziegler v. *Natta*, 157 USPQ 400 (S.D.N.Y. 1968) 20

Statutes:

U.S. Const. Art. I, § 8 3
28 U.S.C. 1254 (1) 2
28 U.S.C. 1292(b) 4
35 U.S.C. 24 2, 3, 17, 30
35 U.S.C. 135 2

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

Rule 30 28
Rule 34 2, 11, 29

Other Authorities:

2A Barron & Holtzoff, *Federal Practice and Procedure* § 652 29
8 Wigmore § 2291 15
Bulletin of the American Patent Law Assn., April-May 1969 18
Commissioner of Patents Annual Report for the Fiscal Year 1968 17
Gambrell and Kayton, *Patent Law in Perspective*, 36 Geo. Wash. U. Law Review, 545 (1967) 14
Jox, *Attorney-Client Privilege—Its Application to a Corporate Client*, 3 Washburn Law Journal, 33 (1964) 15
Lagani, *The Patent Attorney and Attorney-Client Privilege*, Vol. XLIX, No. 5, J.P.O.S. 328 (1967) 15

Page

Maurer, Richard S., *Privileged Communication and the Corporate Counsel*, 28 The Alabama Lawyer, 353 (1967) 14, 15

The Attorney-Client Privilege and Its Application to Patent Attorneys, 2 Duquesne Univ. Law Review, 286 (1964) 15

Civil Procedure—Patent Attorneys—Only Documents Prepared During Pendency of Litigation Held Within Protection of Attorney-Client Privilege, 42 N.Y. Univ. Law Review, 1176 (1967) 15

Preliminary Draft of Proposed Amendments to Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States District Courts Relating to Depositions and Discovery, November 1967 27

Senate Report No. 97, 86th Cong. 1st Sess. (1959) 19